# United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,325	10/07/2005	Georg Scheck	56104/DBP/M521	2735
23363 7590 09/14/2007 CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068			EXAMINER	
			LORENCE, RICHARD M	
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/552,325	SCHECK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Richard M. Lorence	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
.—						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 07 October 2005 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)	» <b>—</b>	(PTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/7/05 & 7/12/06.	Patent Application					

Art Unit: 3681

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the first Office action on the merits of Application No. 10/552,325 filed on October 7, 2005. The substitute specification filed on October 7, 2005 has approved for entry. The preliminary amendment filed on December 29, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1-23 are currently pending.

## **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). A copy of the certified copy of the priority document has been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on October 7, 2005 and July 12, 2006 have been considered by the examiner.

### Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Bidirectional Drive.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes the word "said" in lines 3 and 5. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 3681

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is additionally objected to because in line 1 "bilateral" should be changed to "bidirectional".

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Throughout the description the disclosed device is referred to as a "bilateral" drive. The term "bilateral" implies two-sided. A more accurate description would be "bidirectional" since the drive mechanism transmits torque in either the clockwise or counterclockwise directions. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The meaning of the term "bilateral" as used in the preamble of each of the claims is not entirely clear. A more accurate description would be "bidirectional" since the drive mechanism transmits torque in either the clockwise or counterclockwise directions.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 15 and 21 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 2001/0042670 A1.

Note for example Figure 5 which shows the drive wheel 1, drive lever 6a, the coupling element with expansion cams 6', 6" and spring element 2 arranged together in the manner set forth in claim 1. Further note the embodiment of Figure 2 including resetting spring 9' as in claim 15. Shaft 3 is shown extending through a bore which corresponds to the bore recited in claim 21.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-14, 16-20, 22 and 23 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 453,587 A (Nutting) shows the drive lever H, cam L and spring C.

US 6,648,119 B1 (Klinger) and EP 1059195 A2 (Scheck et al.) show drive mechanisms for adjusting devices.

US 7,051,860 B2 (Scheck et al.) is an English language equivalent of EP 1059195 A2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard M. Lorence whose telephone number is (571) 272-7094. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:30AM to 7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (571) 272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard M. Lorence Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681

rml